



KERALA GAZETTE

കേരള ഗസറ്റ്

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

ആധികാരികമായി പ്രസിദ്ധപ്പെടുത്തുന്നത്

Vol. LIV വാല്യം 54	} THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, TUESDAY തിരുവനന്തപുരം, ചൊവ്വ	11th August 2009 2009 ആഗസ്റ്റ് 11	} No. നമ്പർ	32
		20th Sravana 1931 1931 ശ്രാവണം 20		

PART I

Notifications and Orders issued by the Government

Labour and Rehabilitation Department

Labour and Rehabilitation (A)

ORDERS

(1)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 838/2009/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 19th June 2009.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Shri Ayyaswamy s/o Velumani, Contractor, Panamthara, Thathamangalam, Palakkad and the workman of the above referred establishment Shri Vasu s/o Mayandy, Perumatty, Vilayody P. O., Chittur, Palakkad in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Gaz. No. 32/2009/DTP (Part I).

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Shri M. Vasu, Toddy Tapping worker by the Contractor, T. S. No. 14, Thachamkonam, Chittur range is justifiable ? If not, what relief he is entitled to?

(2)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 839/2009/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 19th June 2009.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Shri Ayyaswamy s/o Velumani, Contractor, Panamthara, Thathamangalam,

Palakkad and the workman of the above referred establishment Shri V. Chandran s/o Velankutty, Muthuchetty Kulamb, Thathamangalam, Palakkad in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Shri V. Chandran, Toddy Tapping worker by the Contractor, T. S. No.14, Thachamkonam, Chittur range is justifiable ? If not, what relief he is entitled to?

(3)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 840/2009/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 19th June 2009.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between The Secretary, Indian Coffee Board Workers Co-operative Society Limited No. 4227, P. B. No. 184, Thrissur-680 001 and the workman of the above referred establishment Shri G. R. Manoj Kumar, GR Bhavan, Njandoorkonam, Ayarooorpara P. O., Thiruvananthapuram in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said Industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the dismissal of Shri G. R. Manoj Kumar, Cleaner from the service of Indian Coffee Board Workers Co-operative Society Limited No. 4227, Thrissur by the management is justifiable ? If not, what relief he is entitled to get ?

(4)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 842/2009/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 19th June 2009.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Shri Muhammed, Proprietor, Hotel Kitkat, Pattambi, (Thalapoly Alikkal Veedu, Sankaramangalam Post, Pattambi, Palakkad) and the workman of the above referred establishment Shri Unnikrishnan, Thalappil Veedu, Kayiliyadu Post, Shornur-2 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said Industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Shri Unnikrishnan, Worker, Hotel Kitkat, Pattambi is justifiable ? If not, what relief he is entitled to?

(5)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 843/2009/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 19th June 2009.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between The Managing Director, M/s Sri Narayana Engineering Industries (P) Limited, P. B. No. 2, Kulappully, Shornur-2 and the workman of the above referred establishment Shri K. Gopakumar s/o Kuttikrishnan, Kutilingal House, Kulappully, Shornur-2 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said Industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

(7)

Whether the dismissal of Shri K. Gopakumar, T. No. 242 by the management of Sri Narayana Engineering Industries (P) Limited is justifiable ? If not, what relief he is entitled to?

(6)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 844/2009/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 19th June 2009.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Shri Ayyaswamy s/o Velumani, Contractor, Panamthara, Thathamangalam, Palakkad and the workman of the above referred establishment Shri Haridasan, M., Padinjarakkode, Pattanchery P. O., Palakkad in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Shri Haridasan, Toddy Tapping worker by the Contractor, T. S. No.14, Thachamkonam, Chittur range is justifiable ? If not, what relief he is entitled to?

G. O. (Rt.) No. 845/2009/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 19th June 2009.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Shri Ayyaswamy s/o Velumani, Contractor, Panamthara, Thathamangalam, Palakkad and the workman of the above referred establishment Shri Mohanan s/o Ramakrishnan, Padinjarakkode, Thathamangalam, Palakkad in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Shri Mohanan, Toddy Tapping worker by the Contractor, T. S. No.14, Thachamkonam, Chittur range is justifiable ? If not, what relief he is entitled to?

By order of the Governor,

G. SIVAPRASAD,

Under Secretary to Government.